

A short remark on Wielandt's theorem

Igor Alexandre

March 2025

Abstract

In 1939 Helmut Wielandt proved a function theoretic characterization for the Gamma function. In this short note we extend his theorem to the multivariate beta function and use it to prove a simple, well-known product formula. We will simply follow the same techniques used in the article [1].

1 Two definitions of the multivariate β -function

There are usually two ways one can define the multivariate beta function. The first and simplest way is as in [2] where they write,

$$B(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{\Gamma(z_1) \cdots \Gamma(z_n)}{\Gamma(z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n)},$$

where $\Gamma(z_i)$ denotes the Γ -function. Another way is as discussed in [2] is as,

$$B(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \int_{E_{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{z_i} dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1},$$

where $t_n = 1 - t_1 - t_2 \dots t_{n-1}$ and

$E_{n-1} = \{(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in [0, 1]^{n-1} \mid \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \leq 1\}$. Because of a lack of reference we will give a short proof of this proposition below,

2 Wielandt's theorem

Wielandt's theorem says that given any analytic function f in one variable on the right half-plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ such that $f(z+1) = zf(z)$ and f is bounded on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 2 \geq \operatorname{Re} z \geq 1\}$. Then $f(z) = c \cdot \Gamma(z)$ where c is a constant equal to $f(1)$.

Now a easy generalization of this theorem is the following,

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be a analytic function on $\{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \operatorname{Re} z_i > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ such that,*

- For each i ,

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_i + 1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{z_i}{z_1 + \dots + z_n} f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

- For each i and each fixed $c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ then f is bounded on the strip $\{c_1\} \times \dots \times \{z_i \in \mathbb{C} \mid 2 \geq \operatorname{Re} z_i \geq 1\} \times \dots \times c_n$

then $f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = cB(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ where c is a constant.

Proof. The proof follows directly from Wielandt's theorem. Let $g(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \Gamma(z_1 + \dots + z_n) f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ then for each i we have $g(z_1, \dots, 1 + z_i, \dots, z_n) = z_i \cdot g(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and so if we fix each variable besides z_i then by Wielandt's theorem we can write $g(z_1, \dots, z_n) = k_i(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_i, \dots, z_n) \cdot \Gamma(z_i)$. Now $k_i(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_i, \dots, z_n)$ is analytic since $\frac{1}{\Gamma(z_i)}$ is analytic on our domain. Now it follows that $k_1 \cdot \Gamma(z_1) = k_j \cdot \Gamma(z_j)$ and so

$$\frac{k_1}{\Gamma(z_j)} = \frac{k_j}{\Gamma(z_1)},$$

however we can notice now that the right side of the equation does not depend on j and so for each $j \neq i$ then $\frac{k_1}{\Gamma(z_j)}$ is constant with respect to j and hence,

$$\frac{k_1}{\Gamma(z_2) \cdots \Gamma(z_n)}$$

does not depend on z_j since

$$\frac{k_1}{\Gamma(z_1) \Gamma(z_2) \cdots \Gamma(z_n)} = \frac{k_j}{\Gamma(z_1) \cdots \widehat{\Gamma(z_j)} \cdots \Gamma(z_n)},$$

and the right hand side clearly does not depend on z_j . Hence $\frac{k_1}{\Gamma(z_1) \Gamma(z_2) \cdots \Gamma(z_n)}$ is constant and since $\frac{k_1}{\Gamma(z_1) \Gamma(z_2) \cdots \Gamma(z_n)} = \frac{g(z_1, \dots, z_n)}{\Gamma(z_1) \cdots \Gamma(z_n)}$ which is constant then it follows that $f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = c \cdot \frac{\Gamma(z_1) \cdots \Gamma(z_n)}{\Gamma(z_1 + \dots + z_n)} = cB(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and also note that $c = \frac{f(1, \dots, 1)}{B(1, \dots, 1)} = \frac{f(1, \dots, 1)}{(n-1)!}$. □

3 Product formula

Using this we can give a easy proof for the following identity,

$$B(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{z_1 + z_2 - 1} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(z_1 + z_2 + k - 2)}{(z_1 + k - 1)(z_2 + k - 1)}.$$

Clearly the function

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{z_1 + z_2 - 1} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(z_1 + z_2 + k - 2)}{(z_1 + k - 1)(z_2 + k - 1)}$$

is analytic on $\{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \operatorname{Re} z_1, \operatorname{Re} z_2 > 0\}$. and if we fix z_2 then since the product converges absolutely it follows that the continuous function $f(z, z_2)$ will be bounded on $\{z_1 \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} z_1\} \times \{z_2\}$. Furthermore we can verify that